arXiv:1608.01425v3 [math.AC] 6 Sep 2016

COMMUTATORS IN THE ENDOMORPHISM RING OF A COMPLEX

by

Steven E. Landsburg

University of Rochester

According to [AM], the commutators in the endomorphism ring of a finite dimensional vector space are precisely the elements of trace zero. We replace the finite dimensional vector space with a complex of finite dimensional vector spaces, and characterize commutators and other elements with commutator-like properties in terms of appropriately defined traces.

Let $V_\bullet$ be a complex of finite dimensional vector spaces over a field k. We index cohomologically, so that the boundary map d_i maps V_i to V_{i+1}.

Definition. $V_\bullet$ is *quasi-bounded* if there exists at least one index i for which $d_i : V_i \to V_{i+1}$ is the zero map.

For example, any complex that is bounded above or below is quasi-bounded.

Definition. A *stretch* for $V_\bullet$ is a finite interval $S = [M, N] \subset Z$ that is maximal with respect to the property that for any $i, i+1 \in S$, the map $d_i : V_i \to V_{i+1}$ is non-zero:

$$\bullet\bullet\bullet \longrightarrow V_{m-1} \xrightarrow{0} \underbrace{V_M \longrightarrow \bullet\bullet\bullet \longrightarrow V_N}_{\text{all maps non-zero in this range}} \xrightarrow{0} \longrightarrow \bullet\bullet\bullet$$

Note that a given complex might or might not have any stretches.

Now fix an endomorphism $\phi_\bullet : V_\bullet \to V_\bullet$. Let $\phi_i^H : H^i(V_\bullet) \to H^i(V_\bullet)$ be the action of ϕ on cohomology.

Definitions. For an endomorphism f of a vector space V, write $tr(f)$ for the trace of f. Then for each index $i \in Z$ and for each stretch $S \subset Z$, set:

$tr_i(\phi_\bullet) = tr(\phi_i)$

$tr_i^H(\phi_\bullet) = tr(\phi_i^H)$

$tr_S(\phi_\bullet) = \sum_{i\in S}(-1)^i tr_i(\phi)$

$tr_S^H(\phi_\bullet) = \sum_{i\in S}(-1)^i tr_i^H(\phi)$

Definitions. $\phi_\bullet$ is a *commutator* if it is a commutator in the endomorphism ring of the com-

plex $V_\bullet$. $\phi_\bullet$ is a *pointwise commutator* if each $\phi_i : V_i \to V_i$ is a commutator in the endomorphism ring of the vector space V_i.

We will prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

a) $\phi_\bullet$ is a pointwise commutator.

b) $tr_i(\phi_\bullet) = 0$ for each index i.

Theorem 2. Suppose the field k is infinite and $V_\bullet$ is quasi-bounded. Then the following are equivalent:

a) $\phi_\bullet$ is a commutator.

b) $tr_i(\phi_\bullet) = tr_i^H(\phi_\bullet) = 0$ for each index i.

Theorem 3. The following are equivalent:

a) $\phi_\bullet$ is homotopic to a commutator.

b) $tr_i^H(\phi_\bullet) = 0$ for each index i.

Theorem 4. The following are equivalent:

a) $\phi_\bullet$ is homotopic to a pointwise commutator.

b) $tr_S(\phi_\bullet) = 0$ for each stretch S.

c) $tr_S^H(\phi_\bullet) = 0$ for each stretch S.

Theorem 1 is an instance of the main theorem in [AM], which states that any map of finite dimensional vector spaces is a commutator if and only if it has trace zero.

To prove the remaining theorems, let $B_i = im(d_{i-1}) \subset V_i$ and let $H_i = H^i(V_\bullet)$. Then up to isomorphism, we can write $V_\bullet$ (depicted horizontally) and $\phi_\bullet$ (depicted vertically) in the form:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bullet\bullet\bullet & \longrightarrow & B_i \oplus H_i \oplus B_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & B_{i+1} \oplus H_{i+1} \oplus B_{i+2} & \longrightarrow & \bullet\bullet\bullet \\
 & & \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^B & g_i & h_i \\ 0 & \phi_i^H & k_i \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i+1}^B \end{pmatrix} \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{i+1}^B & g_{i+1} & h_{i+1} \\ 0 & \phi_{i+1}^H & k_{i+1} \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i+2}^B \end{pmatrix} & & \\
\bullet\bullet\bullet & \longrightarrow & B_i \oplus H_i \oplus B_{i+1} & \xrightarrow[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]{} & B_{i+1} \oplus H_{i+1} \oplus B_{i+2} & \longrightarrow & \bullet\bullet\bullet
\end{array}
\tag{1}
$$

Lemma A. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let M_i and N_i be traceless square matrices of sizes m_i and n_i over an infinite field k. Then it is possible to choose square matrices p_i, q_i, s_i, t_i that satisfy all of the following conditions for each i:

$$M_i = p_i q_i - q_i p_i \tag{A1}$$

$$N_i = s_i t_i - t_i s_i \tag{A2}$$

$$p_i \otimes I_{n_i} - I_{m_i} \otimes s_i^T \text{ is invertible.} \tag{A3}$$

$$q_{i+1} \otimes I_{m_i} - I_{m_{i+1}} \otimes q_i^T \text{ is invertible.} \tag{A4}$$

$$s_i \otimes I_{m_{i+1}} - I_{n_i} \otimes p_{i+1}^T \text{ is invertible.} \tag{A5}$$

(Here I_k is the identity matrix of size k, the empty 0 by 0 matrix is taken to be invertible by convention, and M^T represents the transpose of M.)

Proof. By [AM], we can find p_i, q_i, s_i, t_i satisfying (A1) and (A2).

Now to satisfy (A3) and (A5), replace each s_i by $s_i + \lambda_i I_{n_i}$, where λ_i is not an eignenvalue of either $p_i \otimes I_{n_i} - I_{m_i} \otimes s_i^T$ or $s_i \otimes I_{m_{i+1}} - I_{n_i} \otimes p_{i+1}^T$. This is always possible by the infinitude of k, and it does not spoil (A1) or (A2).

To satisfy (A4), suppose it is already satisfied for $|i| < k$. Then add appropriate scalar multiples of the identity to q_{-k-1} and q_{k+1} to satisfy (A4) for $|i| = k$, and continue by induction.

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2. Clearly a) implies b). For the other direction, choose (by quasi-boundedness) an N such that $B_N = 0$ and therefore $\phi_N^B = 0$. Then b) gives

$$0 = tr_{N-1}(\phi) = tr(\phi_{N-1}^B) + tr(\phi_{N-1}^H) + tr(\phi_N^B) = tr(\phi_{N-1}^B) + 0 + 0 = tr(\phi_{N-1}^B)$$

$$0 = tr_N(\phi) = tr(\phi_N^B) + tr(\phi_N^H) + tr(\phi_{N+1}^B) = 0 + 0 + tr(\phi_{N+1}^B) = tr(\phi_{N+1}^B)$$

and, by backward and forward induction, $tr(\phi_i^B) = 0$ for all i.

Therefore if we set $M_i = \phi_i^B$ and $N_i = \phi_i^H$, we can apply Lemma A to get matrices p_i, q_i, s_i, t_i satisfying the conditions (A1) through (A5).

Now to write $\phi_\bullet$ as a commutator, it suffices to write each ϕ_i as a commutator of the form

$$\phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^B & g_i & h_i \\ 0 & \phi_i^H & k_i \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i+1}^B \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} p_i & S_i & T_i \\ 0 & s_i & U_i \\ 0 & 0 & p_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q_i & X_i & Y_i \\ 0 & t_i & Z_i \\ 0 & 0 & q_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

I claim that such an expression exists with $S_i = U_i = Y_i = 0$. To establish the claim it suffices to solve the equations

$$p_i X_i - X_i s_i = g_i \qquad T_i q_{i+1} - q_i T_i = h_i \qquad s_i Z_i - Z_i p_{i+1} = k_i \tag{3}$$

for the unknown matrices X_i, T_i, Z_i. This solvability follows from (A3), (A4) and (A5) of Lemma A.

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 3. a) implies b) immediately. For the other direction, represent ϕ as in (1). Define $S : V_i \to V_{i-1}$ by the matrix

$$S_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_i^B & g_i & h_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $S_\bullet$ is a homotopy from ϕ to the map represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_i^H & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

so we can assume ϕ has the form (4). Now write the traceless map of vector spaces ϕ_i^H as a commutator $[s_i, t_i]$ and note that

$$\phi_\bullet = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_\bullet & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_\bullet & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

q.e.d.

Lemma B. Let $\{T_i | i \in Z\}$ be a family of scalars with the property that for any stretch S of $V_\bullet$, we have $\sum_{i \in S} (-1)^i T_i = 0$. Then there exists a null-homotopic map $\tau : V_\bullet \to V_\bullet$ such that $tr(t_i) = T_i$.

Proof. First I claim that we can find scalars $S_i \in k$ satisfying the two conditions

a) $T_i = S_i + S_{i+1}$ for each i.

b) Whenever $B_i = 0$, $S_i = 0$. (Recall that B_i is the image of the map $\phi_{i-1} : V_{i-1} \to V_i$.)

To establish the claim, choose any index n with the property that $B_i = 0$, if there is any such index, or choose n completely arbitrarily otherwise. Define $S_n = 0$ and use a) with forward and backward induction to define all other S_i. The hypothesis of the lemma then guarantees that b) also holds, which proves the claim.

Now let $\tau_i^B : B_i \to B_i$ be any map with trace S_i, and define the map τ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bullet\bullet\bullet & \longrightarrow & B_i \oplus H_i \oplus B_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & B_{i+1} \oplus H_{i+1} \oplus B_{i+2} & \longrightarrow & \bullet\bullet\bullet \\
 & & \begin{pmatrix} \tau_i^B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_{i+1}^B \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{i+1}^B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_{i+2}^B \end{pmatrix} & & \\
\bullet\bullet\bullet & \longrightarrow & B_i \oplus H_i \oplus B_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & B_{i+1} \oplus H_{i+1} \oplus B_{i+2} & \longrightarrow & \bullet\bullet\bullet
\end{array}$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 4. Clearly b) and c) are equivalent, and clearly a) implies both. For the other direction, assume b) and put $T_i = tr_i(\phi)$. Then after subtracting the null-homotopic map τ constructed in Lemma B, we can assume $tr_i(\phi) = 0$ for all i, and the result now follows from Theorem 1.

q.e.d.

Counterexamples

Theorem 2, the proof of which depends on Lemma A, assumes quasi- boundedness and an infinite base field. Example 1 below will show that Theorem 2 can fail in the absence of quasi-boundedness. Example 2 below will show that Lemma A (but not necessarily Theorem 2) can fail in the absence of an infinite field.

Example 1. For all i, let $V_i = k \oplus k$, let $d_i : V_i \to V_{i+1}$ be the map $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and let $\phi_i : V_i \to V_i$ be the map $(-1)^i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $\phi_\bullet$ is a map of complexes satisfying condition b) of Theorem 2. But $\phi_\bullet$ cannot be a commutator, because if it were, the induced map on boundaries would also be a commutator and therefore pointwise traceless, whereas in fact that induced map has trace ± 1. Thus Theorem 2 can fail when $V_\bullet$ is not quasi-bounded.

Example 2. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. For all i, let

$$M_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If Lemma A holds over F_2, then we must be able to write

$$M_1 = p_1 q_1 - q_1 p_1 \qquad N_1 = s_1 t_1 - t_1 s_1 \qquad M_2 = p_2 q_2 - q_2 p_2 \tag{5}$$

so that the following matrices are invertible:

$$p_1 \otimes I_2 - I_2 \otimes s_1^T \tag{6}$$

$$q_2 \otimes I_2 - I_2 \otimes q_1^T \tag{7}$$

$$p_2 \otimes I_2 - I_2 \otimes s_1^T \tag{8}$$

For each matrix M, put $C(M) = \{P | \exists Q \text{ such that } PQ - QP = M\}$. Then (5) requires that:

$$p_1, p_2 \in C(\phi_1^B) = C(\phi_2^B) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{9}$$

$$s_1 \in C(\phi_1^H) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{10}$$

Checking each possible pair, the invertibility of (6) and (8) requires that

$$(p_1, s_1), (p_2, s_1) \in \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}$$

In particular, for each $i = 1, 2$, either $p_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $p_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, either of which, in conjunction with (5), implies that

$$q_i \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Taking the sixteen possible ordered pairs for (q_1, q_2), one checks that none of them makes (7) invertible. Thus Lemma A, which is used in the proof of Theorem 2, can fail in the absence of the assumption that k is infinite.

Note that Lemma A is sufficient but not necessary for the solvability of the system (3), which in turn is sufficient but not necessary for the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[AM] A. Albert and B. Muckenhoupt, *On Matrices of Trace Zero*, Michican Math Journal 4 (1957).